

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

					_
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.]
09/934,002	08/21/2001	Satoshi Seo	SEL 272	7710	_
7:	590 05/14/2003				
COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD. SUITE 2850 200 WEST ADAMS STREET CHICAGO, IL 60606			EXAMINER		ヿ゚
			GARRETT, DAWN L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER]
,			1774		_
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/934,002	SEO, SATOSHI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
•	•	Dawn Garrett	1774				
•	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	l					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1)⊠	Posnonsive to communication(s) filed on 21.5	Sobruary 2002					
1)⊠ 2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 F</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This	is action is non-final.					
3)□	,—	<u> </u>	resecution as to the morits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
•	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,8-10,12,14-17,19 and 21</u> is/are allowed.						
· <u></u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,6,11,13,18 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,5 and 7</u> is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.					
· · · _	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 February 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
,23			•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/934,002 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This Office action is in response to the amendment dated February 21, 2003, paper no. 6. Claims 2-7 were amended. New claims 15-21 were added.
- 2. The corrected drawing of chemical formula 4 is approved.
- 3. The rejection of claims 2-5 and 9-12 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, set forth in paper no. 5 (mailed November 21, 2002), paragraphs 2-5 is withdrawn due to the amendment, paper no. 6.
- 4. The rejection of claim 6 and 13 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Takahashi et al. (JP 2000-150146), set forth in paper no. 5, paragraph 7, is withdrawn due to the amendment of claim 6.
- 5. Claims 1- 3, 5, 7-10, 12, 14-17, 19, and 21 are allowable for the reasons indicated in paper no. 5, paragraph 8 (note claims 3, 5, and 7 are objected for minor informality as discussed in a following paragraph).
- 6. Indication that claims 4 and 11 may contain allowable subject matter is withdrawn due to the amendment of claim 4.

Claim Objections

7. Claims 3, 5, and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities: It is suggested that "wherein the hole transporting layer comprising a polymer material" be changed to "wherein the hole transporting layer comprises a polymer material".

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/934,002 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

8. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: It is suggested that "wherein the electron transporting layer comprising a polymer material" be changed to "wherein the electron transporting layer comprises a polymer material". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 4, 6, 11, 13, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Himeshima et al. (JP 10-050480 A). Himeshima et al. discloses a light emitting element comprising an electron transporting layer. The layer includes donor materials which include alkali metals and alkaline earth metals (see JPO abstract). The limitation that material is introduced by ion implantation in claim 6 is considered to be a product by process limitation. Although in product-by-process form, these claims are ultimately <u>article</u> claims. As discussed in M.P.E.P. § 2113:

"Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985)... "The Patent Office bears a lesser burden proof in making out a case of prima facie obviousness for product-by-process claims because of their peculiar nature" than when

Application/Control Number: 09/934,002

Art Unit: 1774

a product is claimed in the conventional fashion. *In re Fessman*, 180 USPQ 324, 326 (CCPA 1974). Once the Examiner provides a rationale tending to show that the claimed product appears to be the same or similar to that of the prior art, although produced by a different process, the burden shifts to applicant to come forward with evidence establishing an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art product. *In re Marosi*, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

The light-emitting device disclosed by Himeshima et al. is used in display devices, flat panel displays, a back light, lighting, an interior, an indicator, or a signboard per instant claims 11, 13, 18, and 20 (see paragraph 1). Himeshima et al. is deemed to anticipate claims 4, 6, 11, 13, 18, and 20.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dawn Garrett whose telephone number is (703)305-0788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703)-308-0449. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

JAHKell

D.G. May 12, 2003